#### Available online at www.ijpab.com

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2582-2845.7906

**ISSN: 2582 – 2845** Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci. (2020) 8(1), 49-55 Research Article



Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Open Access Journal

### Pest Population Dynamics of Soybean Varieties as Influenced by Varieties and Sowing Windows

Waghmare, S. V.\*, Kharbade, S. B. and Sthool V. A.

Department of Agricultural Meteorology, Centre for Advanced Faculty Training (CAFT) in Agricultural Meteorology, College of Agriculture, Pune – 411 005 Maharashtra, India \*Corresponding Author E-mail: shrinivaswa@gmail.com Received: 9.11.2019 | Revised: 18.12.2019 | Accepted: 26.12.2019

#### ABSTRACT

An experiment "Micrometeorological studies on growth, yield and pest infestation on soybean varieties under different sowing windows" was carried out at Faculty of Agriculture Department of Agricultural Meteorology Farm, Centre for Advanced Agricultural Meteorology, College of Agriculture, Pune during Kharif seasons of 2015 and 2016.

The infestation activity of Spodoptera noticed during the first sowing window  $26^{th}$  MW ( $D_1$ ) with the varieties MACS- 450 ( $V_1$ ), JS-335 ( $V_2$ ) and DS- 228 ( $V_3$ ) and KDS- 344 ( $V_4$ ) the incidence of Spodoptera was ranged from 0.23 - 1.60, 0.10 - 1.67, 0.07 - 1.47 and 0.17 - 1.33 larvae/mrl with a peaks in  $36^{th}$  and  $37^{th}$  MW. Whereas, crop sown on  $27^{th}$  MW ( $D_2$ ) recorded the maximum incidence (0.13 to 1.13 larvae/mrl).

Among the soybean varieties, higher incidence of Spodoptera and semilooper was recorded on MACS- 450 variety and minimum was recorded on Phule Kalyani. Timely sowing on  $26^{th}$  MW  $(D_1)$  and  $27^{th}$  MW  $(D_2)$  recorded lower incidence of Spodoptera and semilooper, whereas, crop sown delay during  $29^{th}$  MW  $(D_4)$  recorded the maximum incidence.

Keywords: Spodopter, MW, Soybean, Sowing windows.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Population dynamics of insect pest, study to know the most susceptible stage (s) of the pest provides a very good alternative. In such study, the life table is the most important technique, which provides а summarv description of mortality, survivorship and life expectancy for a specified population. It shows organism's mortality (or survival) and reproduction rate (maternal frequency) as a function of age. In nature, such mortality and reproduction rate depends on numerous factors

such as temperature, population density, natural enemies, and diseases.

Life tables are the most important tools in the pest management revealed the most opportune periods and vulnerable stages of the insect species. Series of life tables of the pest increases the understanding about the pest dynamics and mortality factors such as predators, parasitoids and pathogen infection on the pest and we can use major key mortality factor in management of the pest.

Cite this article: Waghmare, S.V., Kharbade, S.B., & Sthool V.A. (2020). Pest Population Dynamics of Soybean Varieties as Influenced by Varieties and Sowing Windows, *Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 8(1), 49-55. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2582-2845.7906

The weather parameters which are directly responsible for the crop growth, yield and pest incidence under the climatic variability for the Pune region, Maharashtra, India due to the climate change. The increase in climatic variation associated with weather parameters like uneven rainfall distribution, sudden changes in day and night temperatures, relative humidity during the crop growing season and its impact on pest population dynamics. To avoid the losses caused by the insect pests, various control measures have been designed.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at Department of Agricultural Meteorology Farm, College of Agriculture, Pune during *kharif* seasons of 2015 and 2016. The experiment was conducted in a split plot design with three replications. The treatments were allotted randomly to each replication by keeping the gross plot size  $5.4 \times 3.6 \text{ m}^2$  and net plot size  $4.5 \times 2.7 \text{ m}^2$  with  $45 \times 5 \text{ cm}$  spacing. There were sixteen treatment combinations.

The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three replications. The treatments comprised of four soybean varieties *viz.*, V<sub>1</sub>: MACS- 450, V<sub>2</sub>: JS- 335, V<sub>3</sub>: DS – 228 (Phule Kalyani) and V<sub>4</sub>: KDS- 344 (Phule Agrani) as main plot and four sowing windows *viz.*, D<sub>1</sub>: 26<sup>th</sup> MW (25 June -1 July), D<sub>2</sub>: 27<sup>th</sup> MW (2 July-8 July), D<sub>3</sub>: 28<sup>th</sup> MW (9 July – 15 July) and D<sub>4</sub>: 29<sup>th</sup> MW (16 July -22 July) as sub plot treatments.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Seasonal incidence of major pests on soybean

The study was conducted during both the years of *kharif* season of 2015 and 2016. During the course of study the incidence of two major pests were recorded on soybean crop sown at different sowing windows with four varieties, two insect, *viz.*, Semilooper and *Spodoptera* were recorded as major pests associated with soybean crop.

#### Semilooper larvae

During the year 2015 the early instar larvae of semilooper, feed on leaves by scratching the

green matter and grown up larvae consume entire leaves leaving behind only the midribs and veins. This pest was noticed defoliating from vegetative stage of the crop.

The incidence of semilooper was recorded all varieties during the year 2015 across all the windows of sowing. During the first sowing window  $26^{th}$  MW (D<sub>1</sub>) with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS- 335 (V<sub>2</sub>) and DS- 228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS- 344 (V<sub>4</sub>), the incidence of semilooper was in the ranged from 0.10 - 1.47, 0.10 - 1.20, 0.07 - 1.33 and 0.10 - 1.33 larvae/mrl respectively, with a peak in  $36^{th}$  MW and  $37^{th}$  MW. Which was less than late sowings. Similar results reported by Meena and Sharma (2006).

Similarly it was evident from the data that there was clear cut differences in population of *Spodoptera* larvae in soybean crop at different windows of sowing. The infestation of *Spodoptera* increased during the first sowing window 26<sup>th</sup> MW (D<sub>1</sub>) with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS- 335 (V<sub>2</sub>) and DS- 228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS- 344 (V<sub>4</sub>). The incidence of *Spodoptera* was ranged from 0.23 - 1.60, 0.10 - 1.67, 0.07 - 1.47 and 0.17 - 1.33 larvae/mrl respectively incidence in 36<sup>th</sup> and 37<sup>th</sup> MW.

During the second sowing window of  $27^{\text{th}}$  MW (D<sub>2</sub>) with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS-335 (V<sub>2</sub>) and DS- 228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS- 344 (V<sub>4</sub>), the incidence of semilooper was ranged from 0.13 - 1.60, 0.20 - 1.23, 0.23 - 1.60 and 0.23 - 1.63 larvae/mrl respectively peaks in 36<sup>th</sup> and 37<sup>th</sup> MW.

The infestation *Spodoptera* increased during the second sowing window  $27^{\text{th}}$  MW (D<sub>2</sub>) with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS-335 (V<sub>2</sub>) and DS- 228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS- 344 (V<sub>4</sub>), the incidence of *Spodoptera* was ranged from 0.10 - 1.83, 0.10 - 1.73, 0.13 - 1.47 and 0.10 - 1.47 larvae/mrl respectively, with the peaks incidence in 36<sup>th</sup> and 37<sup>th</sup> MW.

During the third sowing window of  $28^{\text{th}}$  MW (D<sub>3</sub>) with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS-335 (V<sub>2</sub>) and DS- 228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS-344 (V<sub>4</sub>), the incidence of semilooper was ranged from 0.15 - 1.90, 0.24 - 1.48, 0.27 - 1.90 and 0.27- 1.94 larvae/mrl respectively

with peaks in  $37^{\text{th}}$  MW. (Table 4.23). Similarly, the populations of *Spodoptera* during  $28^{\text{th}}$  MW(D<sub>3</sub>) sowing window with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS- 335 (V<sub>2</sub>), DS-228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS- 344 was ranged from 0.12 - 2.14, 0.12 - 2.02, 0.15 - 1.72 and 0.12 - 1.72 larvae /mrl respectively, with the peaks in  $37^{\text{th}}$  and  $38^{\text{th}}$  MW.

Sowing with last sowing window i.e.  $29^{\text{th}}$  MW (D<sub>4</sub>) with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS-335 (V<sub>2</sub>), DS- 228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS-344 the incidence of semilooper was ranged from 0.14 -1.70, 0.23 -1.39, 0.23 - 1.63 and 0.32 - 1.73 larvae/mrl, respectively with peaks in 37<sup>th</sup> MW. Similarly the activity of *Spodoptera* increased during 29<sup>th</sup> MW (D<sub>4</sub>) was in the ranged of 0.11-1.92, 0.11-1.82, 0.14 - 1.54 and 0.11 - 1.56 larvae /mrl respectively with peaks in 37<sup>th</sup> MW.

Early sowing on  $26^{\text{th}}$  MW (D<sub>1</sub>) sowing window recorded lower incidence of semilooper (0.10 to 1.47 larvae/mrl) on different varieties of soybean. Whereas, crop sown on  $28^{\text{th}}$  MW (D<sub>2</sub>) sowing window recorded the maximum incidence (0.15 to 1.94 larvae/mrl).

Present findings are in agreement with that of Harish (2008) who reported higher incidence of *T. orichalcea* on crop sown during  $26^{\text{th}}$  MW (D<sub>1</sub>) (3.27 larvae/mrl) and late sown crop in  $28^{\text{th}}$  MW (D<sub>3</sub>) recorded the maximum incidence of 4.80 larvae/mrl at Dharwad . Similar result were reported by Kamala (2000).

During the year 2016, two major pests were observed *viz.*, semilooper and *S. litura*. This pest was noticed defoliating at vegetative stage of the crop. The incidence of semilooper was recorded in crop sown during all the windows of sowing and soybean varieties. Sowing in  $26^{th}$  MW (D<sub>1</sub>) with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS- 335 (V<sub>2</sub>), DS- 228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS- 344, the incidence of semilooper was ranged from 0.11- 1.54, 0.11- 1.26, 0.07-1.40 and 0.11-1.40 larvae/mrl respectively peaks in  $36^{th}$  and  $37^{th}$  MW. Similar result reported by Madrap et al. (2007). Similarly, the population of *S. litura* observed at the crop during the  $26^{th}$  MW (D<sub>1</sub>) with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS- 335 (V<sub>2</sub>) and DS- 228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS- 344 ranged from 0.24- 1.66, 0.10 -1.74, 0.07- 1.53 and 0.18 - 1.38 (larvae/mrl) respectively, peaks in  $36^{th}$  and  $37^{th}$  MW.

During the sowing in  $27^{\text{th}}$  MW (D<sub>2</sub>) with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS- 335 (V<sub>2</sub>), DS- 228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS- 344 (V<sub>4</sub>), the incidence of semilooper was ranged from 0.14- 1.68, 0.21-1.29, 0.24- 1.68 and 0.24- 1.71 larvae/mrl respectively with the peaks in  $37^{\text{th}}$  MW. Similarly, population of *S. litura* on the crop sown during  $27^{\text{th}}$  MW (D<sub>2</sub>) with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS- 335 (V<sub>2</sub>), DS- 228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS- 344 with its ranged from 0.10- 1.90, 0.10- 1.80, 0.14 - 1.53 and 0.10- 1.53 larvae/mrl respectively with the peaks in  $36^{\text{th}}$  and  $37^{\text{th}}$  MW.

Sowing during  $28^{th}$  MW (D<sub>3</sub>) with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS- 335 (V<sub>2</sub>), DS-228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS- 344 (V<sub>4</sub>), the incidence of semilooper was in the ranged from 0.16 -2.00, 0.25-1.54, 0.29 - 2.00 and 0.29 - 2.04 larvae/mrl, respectively with the peaks in  $36^{th}$  and  $37^{th}$  MW. Similarly the population of *Spodoptera* on crop during  $28^{th}$  MW (D<sub>3</sub>) with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS- 335 (V<sub>2</sub>), DS-228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS- 344 ranged from 0.12-2.23, 0.12 - 2.11 0.16 - 1.79 and 0.12 - 1.79 (larvae/mrl) respectively, with peaks in  $36^{th}$  and  $37^{th}$  MW.

Sowing in the 29<sup>th</sup> MW (D<sub>4</sub>) with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS- 335 (V<sub>2</sub>), DS-228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS- 344 (V<sub>4</sub>), the incidence of semilooper ranged from 0.14 - 1.78, 0.24 - 1.46, 0.25 - 1.71 and 0.25 - 1.78 larvae/mrl respectively, peaks in 36<sup>th</sup> and 37<sup>th</sup> MW. Similarly the population of *Spodoptera* on crop during the 29<sup>th</sup> MW (D<sub>4</sub>) with the varieties MACS- 450 (V<sub>1</sub>), JS- 335 (V<sub>2</sub>), DS-228 (V<sub>3</sub>) and KDS- 344 ranged from 0.11-2.00, 0.11- 1.89, 0.14- 1.61 and 0.11- 1.59 (larvae/mrl) respectively, with peaks in 37<sup>th</sup> MW.

Present findings are in agreement with that of Harish (2008) who reported higher incidence of *T. orichalcea* on crop sown during 26<sup>th</sup> MW (D<sub>1</sub>) (3.27 larvae/mrl) and late sown crop 29<sup>th</sup> MW (D<sub>4</sub>) recorded the Waghmare et al.Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci. (2020) 8(1), 49-55ISSN: 2582 - 2845maximum incidence of 4.80 larvae/mrl.lowest incidence of semilooper and greatestSimilar result reported by Negoyen (2001).yield with early sowing (20th June, 5th July and<br/>1-15th November).

Table 1: Population dynamics of Semilooper and Spodoptera litura (larvae/mrl) of soybean during kharif, 2015

Sr.	Name of the insect	sect Mean of observations			recor	ded for	26 <sup>th</sup> N	IW sov	ving				
No.	pest	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	Mean
	MACS- 450												
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.10	0.13	0.20	0.35	0.83	1.00	1.33	1.47	1.10	0.83	0.67
2	S. litura	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.33	0.47	0.69	1.07	1.20	1.60	1.33	0.93	0.71
	JS-335												
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.10	0.20	0.23	0.40	0.59	0.60	0.87	1.00	1.20	0.67	0.53
2	S. litura	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.20	0.50	0.60	0.83	1.33	1.67	1.33	1.00	0.68
	DS- 228 (Phule Kaly	ani)											
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.07	0.10	0.20	0.33	0.50	0.63	1.00	1.33	0.83	0.47	0.49
2	S. litura	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.13	0.20	0.35	0.47	0.60	1.00	1.47	0.63	0.45
	KDS- 344 (Phule Ag	rani)											
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.23	0.33	0.70	0.80	1.07	1.20	1.33	1.00	0.61
2	S. litura	0.00	0.00	0.17	0.30	0.47	0.56	0.83	1.00	1.33	1.00	0.67	0.57

Table 2: Population dynamics of Semilooper and Spodoptera litura (larvae/mrl) of soybean during kharif, 2015

Sr.	Name of the insect			Mean of observations recorded for 27 <sup>th</sup> MW sowing									
No.	pest	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	Mean
	MACS- 450												
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.13	0.20	0.23	0.40	0.67	1.20	1.20	1.33	1.60	0.83	0.69
2	S. litura	0.00	0.10	0.33	0.43	0.50	0.73	0.96	1.20	1.47	1.83	1.00	0.77
	JS-335												
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.20	0.23	0.30	0.47	0.60	0.76	0.93	1.07	1.23	1.00	0.61
2	S. litura	0.00	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.50	0.67	1.20	1.47	1.73	1.47	1.00	0.77
	DS- 228 (Phule Kaly	ani)											
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.30	0.46	0.57	0.83	1.10	1.47	1.60	0.93	0.68
2	S. litura	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.20	0.44	0.47	0.63	0.93	1.33	1.47	0.93	0.58
	KDS- 344 (Phule Ag	(rani)											
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.30	0.43	0.53	0.83	1.20	1.63	1.47	1.13	0.70
2	S. litura	0.00	0.10	0.23	0.47	0.65	0.83	1.00	1.33	1.47	1.20	0.93	0.74

Table 3: Population dynamics of Semilooper and Spodoptera litura (larvae/mrl) of soybean during kharif, 2015

Sr. No.	Name of the			$\mathbf{M}$	lean of o	observati	ions ree	corded f	for 28 <sup>th</sup>	MW se	owing		
Sr. 10.	insect pest	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	Mean
	MACS- 450												
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.15	0.24	0.27	0.48	0.83	1.43	1.43	1.58	1.90	0.99	0.85
2	S. litura	0.00	0.12	0.39	0.50	0.59	0.78	1.12	1.40	1.72	2.14	1.17	0.90
	JS-335												
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.24	0.27	0.36	0.56	0.73	0.90	1.11	1.27	1.46	1.19	0.74
2	S. litura	0.00	0.12	0.23	0.35	0.59	0.79	1.40	1.72	2.02	1.72	1.17	0.92
	DS-228 (Phul	e Kalya	ni)										
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.36	0.55	0.70	0.99	1.31	1.75	1.90	1.11	0.81
2	S. litura	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.23	0.51	0.57	0.74	1.09	1.56	1.72	1.09	0.70
	KDS- 344 (Ph	ule Agr	ani)										
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.36	0.51	0.65	0.99	1.43	1.75	1.94	1.34	0.84
2	S. litura	0.00	0.12	0.27	0.55	0.76	0.63	1.17	1.56	1.72	1.40	1.09	0.84

#### Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci. (2020) 8(1), 49-55

ISSN: 2582 - 2845

 Table 4: Population dynamics of Semilooper and Spodoptera litura (larvae/mrl) of soybean during kharif, 2015

 Norma of the

 Mean of observations, recorded for 29<sup>th</sup> MW sowing

	Name of the			N	lean of o	observati	ons ree	corded t	or 29 <sup>th</sup>	MW se	owing		
Sr. No.	insect pest MACS- 450	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	Mean
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.24	0.42	0.73	1.27	1.27	1.41	1.70	0.88	0.75
2	S. litura <b>JS- 335</b>	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.45	0.53	0.80	1.01	1.26	1.54	1.92	1.05	0.82
1 2	Semilooper S. litura DS- 228 (Phul	0.00 0.00 e Kalya	0.00 0.00 ni)	0.23 0.11	0.34 0.32	0.53 0.53	0.70 0.72	0.86 1.26	1.05 1.54	1.21 1.82	1.39 1.54	1.13 1.05	0.70 0.83
1 2	Semilooper S. litura KDS- 344 (Ph	0.00 0.00 ule Agr	0.00 0.00 <b>ani</b> )	0.00 0.00	0.31 0.21	0.47 0.46	0.60 0.52	0.85 0.66	1.12 0.98	1.50 1.40	1.63 1.54	0.95 0.98	0.70 0.63
1 2	Semilooper <i>S. litura</i>	0.00 0.00	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00 \end{array}$	0.00 0.11	0.32 0.49	0.46 0.68	0.58 0.89	0.88 1.05	1.27 1.40	1.56 1.26	1.73 1.56	1.20 0.98	0.75 0.79

## Table 5: Population dynamics of Semilooper and Spodoptera litura (larvae/mrl) of soybean during kharif, 2016 Name of the insect Mean of observations recorded for 26<sup>th</sup> MW sowing

~ ••	Name of the insect		•	Mean	of obse	ervatio	ns rec	orded f	or 26 <sup>th</sup>	<sup>1</sup> MW	sowing	•	
Sr. No.	pest	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	Mean
	MACS- 450												
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.11	0.14	0.21	0.35	0.87	1.05	1.40	1.54	1.16	0.87	0.70
2	S. litura	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.34	0.49	0.70	1.11	1.25	1.66	1.38	0.97	0.74
	JS-335												
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.11	0.21	0.24	0.42	0.60	0.63	0.91	1.05	1.26	0.70	0.56
2	S. litura	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.21	0.49	0.62	0.86	1.38	1.74	1.38	1.04	0.71
	DS- 228 (Phule Kalya	ni)											
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.07	0.11	0.21	0.35	0.49	0.66	1.05	1.40	0.87	0.49	0.52
2	S. litura	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.14	0.21	0.34	0.49	0.62	1.04	1.53	0.66	0.46
	KDS- 344 (Phule Agr	ani)											
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.24	0.35	0.70	0.84	1.12	1.26	1.40	1.05	0.64
2	S. litura	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.31	0.49	0.55	0.86	1.04	1.38	1.04	0.70	0.60

#### Table 6: Population dynamics of Semilooper and Spodoptera litura (larvae/mrl) of soybean during kharif, 2016

C. No	Name of the insect			Mean	of obse	ervatio	ns rec	orded f	for 27 <sup>th</sup>	MW	sowing		
Sr. No.	pest	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	Mean
	MACS- 450												
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.14	0.21	0.24	0.42	0.70	1.05	1.26	1.40	1.68	0.87	0.72
2	S. litura	0.00	0.10	0.34	0.45	0.52	0.76	0.97	1.25	1.53	1.90	1.04	0.81
	JS-335												
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.21	0.24	0.32	0.49	0.63	0.77	0.98	1.12	1.29	1.05	0.65
2	S. litura	0.00	0.10	0.21	0.31	0.52	0.70	1.04	1.53	1.80	1.53	1.04	0.80
	DS-228 (Phule Kalya	ni)											
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.32	0.42	0.60	0.87	1.16	1.54	1.68	0.98	0.71
2	S. litura	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.21	0.31	0.49	0.66	0.97	1.38	1.53	0.97	0.60
	KDS- 344 (Phule Agr	ani)											
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.32	0.42	0.56	0.87	1.26	1.51	1.71	1.19	0.74
2	S. litura	0.00	0.10	0.24	0.49	0.62	0.86	1.04	1.38	1.53	1.25	0.97	0.77

Ind. J. Pure App. Biosci. (2020) 8(1), 49-55

ISSN: 2582 - 2845

 Table 7: Population dynamics of Semilooper and Spodoptera litura (larvae/mrl) of soybean during kharif, 2016

 Number of the semilooper and spodoptera litura (larvae/mrl) of soybean during kharif, 2016

C N	Name of the			M	ean of o	bservati	ons ree	corded t	for $28^{\text{m}}$	MW s	owing		
Sr. No.	insect pest	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	Mean
	MACS- 450												
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.16	0.25	0.29	0.50	0.84	1.25	1.50	1.66	2.00	1.04	0.86
2	S. litura	0.00	0.12	0.40	0.52	0.61	0.89	1.13	1.46	1.79	2.23	1.22	0.94
	JS-335												
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.25	0.29	0.37	0.59	0.75	0.91	1.16	1.34	1.54	1.25	0.77
2	S. litura	0.00	0.12	0.24	0.37	0.61	0.82	1.22	1.79	2.11	1.79	1.22	0.93
	DS-228 (Phul	le Kalya	ni)										
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.37	0.50	0.71	1.04	1.37	1.84	2.00	1.16	0.84
2	S. litura	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.24	0.37	0.57	0.77	1.13	1.62	1.79	1.13	0.71
	KDS- 344 (Ph	ule Agr	ani)										
1	Semilooper	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.37	0.50	0.66	1.04	1.50	2.04	1.84	1.41	0.88
2	S. litura	0.00	0.12	0.28	0.57	0.73	1.01	1.22	1.62	1.79	1.46	1.13	0.90

 Table 8: Population dynamics of Semilooper and Spodoptera litura (larvae/mrl) of soybean during kharif, 2016

Name of the			Μ	lean of o	observati	ons rec	corded f	or 29 <sup>th</sup>	MW so	owing		
insect pest	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	Mean
MACS- 450												
Semilooper	0.00	0.14	0.22	0.26	0.45	0.75	1.11	1.34	1.48	1.78	0.92	0.77
S. litura	0.00	0.11	0.36	0.47	0.55	0.80	1.02	1.31	1.61	2.00	1.09	0.85
JS- 335												
Semilooper	0.00	0.24	0.27	0.36	0.56	0.71	0.87	1.10	1.27	1.46	1.19	0.73
S. litura	0.00	0.11	0.22	0.33	0.55	0.73	1.09	1.61	1.69	1.89	1.09	0.84
DS- 228 (Phul	e Kalya	ni)										
Semilooper	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.32	0.43	0.61	0.89	1.18	1.57	1.71	1.00	0.72
S. litura	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.22	0.33	0.51	0.69	1.02	1.45	1.61	1.02	0.63
KDS- 344 (Ph	ule Agr	ani)										
Semilooper	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.33	0.44	0.58	0.91	1.31	1.78	1.60	1.23	0.77
S. litura	0.00	0.11	0.25	0.51	0.65	0.90	1.08	1.44	1.59	1.30	1.01	0.80
	insect pest MACS- 450 Semilooper S. litura JS- 335 Semilooper S. litura DS- 228 (Phul Semilooper S. litura KDS- 344 (Ph Semilooper	insect pest28MACS- 450Semilooper0.00S. litura0.00JS- 335Semilooper0.00S. litura0.00DS- 228 (Phule KalyarSemilooper0.00S. litura0.00S. litura0.00Semilooper0.00Semilooper0.00Semilooper0.00Semilooper0.00KDS- 344 (Phule AgrSemilooper0.00	insect pest         28         29           MACS- 450	insect pest282930MACS- 4500.000.140.22Semilooper0.000.110.36JS- 3350.000.110.36Semilooper0.000.240.27S. litura0.000.110.22DS- 228 (Phule Kalyani0.000.000.25S. litura0.000.000.014KDS- 344 (Phule Karani0.000.000.25Semilooper0.000.000.25	insect pest28293031MACS- 450	insect pest2829303132MACS- 450Semilooper0.000.140.220.260.45S. litura0.000.110.360.470.55JS- 335Semilooper0.000.240.270.360.56S. litura0.000.110.220.330.55DS- 228 (Phule Kalyami)Semilooper0.000.000.250.320.43S. litura0.000.000.140.220.33KDS- 344 (Phule Agrami)Semilooper0.000.000.250.330.44	insect pest282930313233MACS- 450Semilooper0.000.140.220.260.450.75S. litura0.000.110.360.470.550.80JS- 335Semilooper0.000.240.270.360.560.71S. litura0.000.110.220.330.550.73DS- 228 (Phule Kalyami)Semilooper0.000.000.250.320.430.61S. litura0.000.000.140.220.330.51KDS- 344 (Phule Agrami)Semilooper0.000.000.250.330.440.58	insect pest28293031323334MACS- 450Semilooper0.000.140.220.260.450.751.11S. litura0.000.110.360.470.550.801.02JS- 335Semilooper0.000.240.270.360.560.710.87S. litura0.000.110.220.330.550.731.09DS- 228 (Phule KalyamiSemilooper0.000.000.250.320.430.610.89S. litura0.000.000.140.220.330.510.69KDS- 344 (Phule Agrami)Semilooper0.000.000.250.330.440.580.91	insect pest2829303132333435MACS- 450Semilooper0.000.140.220.260.450.751.111.34S. litura0.000.110.360.470.550.801.021.31JS- 335Semilooper0.000.240.270.360.560.710.871.10S. litura0.000.110.220.330.550.731.091.61DS- 228 (Phule Kalyami)Semilooper0.000.000.250.320.430.610.891.18S. litura0.000.000.140.220.330.510.691.02KDS- 344 (Phule Agrami)Semilooper0.000.000.250.330.440.580.911.31	Nume of the insect pest282930313233343536MACS- 450Semilooper0.000.140.220.260.450.751.111.341.48S. litura0.000.110.360.470.550.801.021.311.61JS- 335Semilooper0.000.240.270.360.560.710.871.101.27S. litura0.000.110.220.330.550.731.091.611.69DS- 228 (Phule Kalyam)Semilooper0.000.000.250.320.430.610.891.181.57S. litura0.000.000.140.220.330.510.691.021.45KDS- 344 (Phule Agrami)Semilooper0.000.000.250.330.440.580.911.311.78	insect pest28293031323334353637MACS- 450Semilooper0.000.140.220.260.450.751.111.341.481.78S. litura0.000.110.360.470.550.801.021.311.612.00JS- 335Semilooper0.000.240.270.360.560.710.871.101.271.46S. litura0.000.110.220.330.550.731.091.611.691.89DS- 228 (Phule KalyamiSemilooper0.000.000.250.320.430.610.891.181.571.71S. litura0.000.000.140.220.330.510.691.021.451.61KDS- 344 (Phule Agrami)Semilooper0.000.000.250.330.440.580.911.311.781.60	insect pest2829303132333435363738MACS- 450Semilooper0.000.140.220.260.450.751.111.341.481.780.92S. litura0.000.110.360.470.550.801.021.311.612.001.09JS- 335Semilooper0.000.240.270.360.560.710.871.101.271.461.19S. litura0.000.110.220.330.550.731.091.611.691.891.09DS- 228 (Phule KalyaniSemilooper0.000.000.250.320.430.610.891.181.571.711.00S. litura0.000.000.140.220.330.510.691.021.451.611.02DS- 228 (Phule KalyaniVVVVVV1.111.781.611.02Semilooper0.000.000.250.330.510.691.021.451.611.02KDS- 344 (Phule Agrami)VVVVV1.311.781.601.23

#### CONCLUSION

Population dynamics between pest with weather parameters increasing semilooper and *Spodoptera* peaks in  $36^{\text{th}}$  and  $37^{\text{th}}$  MW, due to maximum relative humidity during both the years. Among the soybean varieties, higher incidence of *Spodoptera* and Semilooper was recorded on MACS- 450 variety and minimum was recorded on Phule Kalyani. Timely sowing on  $26^{\text{th}}$  MW (D<sub>1</sub>) and  $27^{\text{th}}$  (D<sub>2</sub>) recorded lower incidence of semilooper and *Spodoptera* whereas, crop sown delay on  $29^{\text{th}}$  MW (D<sub>4</sub>) recorded the maximum incidence.

#### REFERENCES

Harish, G. (2008). Studies on incidence and management of defoliator pests of soybean. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis Univ. of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad (India).

Kamala, N. V. (2000). Development of integrated pest management modules for soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merril].
M.Sc (Agri). Thesis (unpub.), Univ. of Agricultural Science, Bangalore. pp. 33-50.

Madrap, I. A., Pole, S. P., & Patange, N. R. (2007). Development of pest tolerant soybean genotypes. *Proc. ISOR Nat. Seminar*, pp.165-167.

Waghmare et al.

- Meena, N. L., & Sharma, U. S. (2006). Effect of sowing date and row spacing on incidence of major insect pests of soybean, [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill.] *Soybean Res.* 4, 73-76.
- Negoyen, (2001). Studies on the effect of selected insecticides on the abundance

and diversity of insect pests of soybean. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, (India).

Sontakke, B. K., & Mishra, P. R. (1994). Impact of different sowing dates/seasons on the incidence of insect pests and yield losses in soybean. J. Insect Sci. 7(1), 84-87